(56)

05/11/07

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI SUNIL KUMAR SINHA, J.

Writ Petition (S) No. 5099 of 2006

Petitioners

1. Puran Chandra S/o Keshav Prasad aged about 40 years, R/o Ratanpur, Tahsil-Kota, District – Bilaspur (C.G.)
2. Ramavtar Sahu, S/o Late Bhakhlu Ram, Aged about 40 years R/o Ratanpur, Tahsil Kota, District Bilaspur (C.G.)
3. Santosh Kumar Shriwas S/o Late Manharan Lal Shriwas aged about 36 years R/o Ratanpur, Tahsil Kota, District Bilaspur (C.G.)
4. Brihaspati Sahu S/o Pilaram Sahu aged about 41 years R/o Ratanpur, Tahsil Kota, District Bilaspur (C.G.)
5. Janak Das S/o Late Jamuna Das Manikpuri aged about 45 years R/o Ratanpur, Tahsil Kota, District Bilaspur (C.G.)
6. Ganesh Prasad Bhatt S/o Late Baitram Bhatt aged about 41 years R/o Ratanpur, Tahsil Kota, District Bilaspur (C.G.)
7. Devishawar Shukla S/o Late Girija Shankar aged about 50 years, R/o Ratanpur, Tahsil Kota, District Bilaspur (C.G.)
8. Devi Prasad S/o Kamta Prasad aged about 55 years R/o Village Nevsa, Tahsil & District Bilaspur (C.G.)
9. Amrit Lal Sahu S/o Chhatram Sahu, aged about 38 years, R/o Jogipur, Tahsil & District Bilaspur (C.G.)
10. Ramayan Lal Bhoi S/o Late Lalji Bhoi aged about 45 years, R/o Ratanpur, Tahsil Kota, District Bilaspur (C.G.)
11. Vijay Kumar dubey S/o Ishwar Dayal Dubey, aged about 40 years, R/o Ratanpur, Tahsil Kota, District Bilaspur (C.G.)

VERSUS

Respondents

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
   Through the Secretary,
   Water Resources Department
   D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Executive Engineer,
   E/M Light Machinery Tube well & Gate, (Minor) Hasdeo-Kacchar, Division – Bilaspur (C.G.)
3. The Sub Divisional Officer,
   E/M Light Machinery Tube Well & Gate, (Minor) Hasdeo-Kacchar, Division Bilaspur (C.G.)

(57)

Writ Petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India

Present: Shri F.S. Khare, counsel for the petitioners.
Shri Ajay Dwivedi, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents No.1 to 3.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 01st November, 2007)

Heard.

The petitioners have filed this petition for their regularization on the ground that though they are working on their respective posts prior to 31-12-1988, their services have not been regularised so far.

For the present, learned counsel for the petitioners makes a limited prayer that the petition may be disposed of with a direction to the petitioners to file their representation(s) before the Competent Authority and the Competent Authority may be directed to dispose of their representation(s) at the earliest.

This limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners is not opposed by the State counsel.

Accordingly, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners is allowed and it is directed that if so advised, the petitioners may file their representation(s) before the Competent Authority along with a copy of this order and writ petition within a period of three weeks from today and if such representation (s) is filed, the Competent Authority shall dispose of the same in an objective manner, on its own merit in accordance with law, at the earliest.

With the aforesaid observations, writ petition is finally disposed of.

Consequently, applications I.A. Nos. 9257/2006 and 10652/2006 stand disposed of.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge